

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/668,242	09/22/2000	Jill M. Boyce	Boyce 6-2	9862	
7	590 04/04/2005		EXAMINER		
Kevin M Mason			LY, ANH VU H		
Ryan Mason & Lewis LLP Suite 205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1300 Post Road			2667		
Fairfield, CT 06430			DATE MAILED: 04/04/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/668,242	BOYCE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Anh-Vu H Ly	2667		

Advisory Action	09/668,242	BUTCE ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Anh-Vu H Ly	2667	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 04 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. Th The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire! 	ment, affidavit, or other evidence, val fee) in compliance with 37 CFR ereply must be filed within one of the grate of the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forther than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	which places the appli 41.31; or (3) a Reque he following time peri in the final rejection, wh g date of the final rejecti	cation in st for Continued ods: ichever is later. In on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Approximate was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chas been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per <u>AMENDMENTS</u> 	1.37 must be filed within two month CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	s of the date of filing	the Notice of
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-44. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		II be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	.t. b. of our our state of a data of Cilima on Ni	ation of Amend will be	at he entered
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues on page 12 that according to page 3, lines 33-34 and page 4, lines 9-10, the disclosure teaches that the frame error information and the packet data are forwarded to the application layer. Applicant further asserts that channel frame error information refers to information regarding the channel errors that occur in data frames or in other words, payload error information is equivalent to channel frame error information. Examiner respectfully agrees. However, first of all, the disclosure does not explicitly specify that the channel errors are payload errors. As is known in the art, a data frame includes the header portion and data portion, therefore, frame error information does not specifically indicate the payload portion. Furthermore, a person of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that channel frame error information can be any parameters effecting the quality or accuracy of the received data frames which may including link quality. Therefore, as interpreted and considered by the examiner, channel frame error information is not equivalent to payload error information. Applicant further argues on page 13 that Larzon does not dislcose or suggest forwarding payload error information with multimediate data to a higher layer. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Larzon discloses on page 191, 2nd col., that errors in the insensitive parts of a packet are forwarded to the receiving application (forwarding to a higher layer) with a modified checksum (payload error information) so that they would not be detected as damaged packets by the UDP layer at the receiving host (receiving payload error information with multimedia data from RLP layer).

CHI PHAM

Kenske

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800 3/7/108